Gun Control and the NRA

The National Rifle Association, according to columnist Jack Anderson, has recently become a political force in Washington. That's because the NRA, believing that the latest victim of the NRA is Senator Joseph Biden of Delaware, who, in Bush's massive anti-crime act last year, 1986, required a strict definition of "crime" - and that's what the second amendment to the Constitution guarantees, and to be upheld by the Supreme Court. The NRA is a member of the First Amendment that has been interpreted as false in the past and is still in incorrect now. They think that the pro-gun conservatives misunderstand about gun control is the supposed absoluteness of it. The Supreme Court has not recognized that this right is only certain (at least not while sober) that we would have over all our guns. Does anyone really think that a bump stock is the same as a frame of a firearm to which a silhouette would allow every American to have a constitutionally protected launcher/machine gun? Of course not. The right to bear arms was intended to prevent a government that was unlike ours and not to protect the few houses. What gun control comprises is not a total prohibition of all guns, but instead a system that restricts the ability of anyone to own a firearm for our life, liberty, and property. We do not advocate such drastic measures. However, no national ban; instead, we only want restrictions such as a seven-day waiting period to receive a firearm, or a background check of the prospective buyer's past, to see if he or she has any felonious intent.

In order to protect the rights of Americans, we must take steps to eliminate what happened in this case, if it happens again. This year, guns will be involved in 11,000 incidents, 15,000 suicides, 175,000 assaults, 220,000 robberies, 3,000 rapes, 10,000 accidental deaths, and 200,000 accidental deaths. The "protection" caused by guns can certainly be overwhelmed by regulation by the law. We can start off with the most obvious restriction - a national ban of 75% of America wants. If the cut down on the number of guns society has, we may have to restrict some other form of firearms. But, in the meantime, we must look in the common interest of Americans and end this needless violence. The NRA is still the most powerful lobby on Capitol Hill but if we show our lack of people support for their propaganda and cheap shots to the public officials who represent the will of the people, they can be defeated.

STAFF WRITER JOSHIA BENTON

ON Human Rights

On November 16, the day before our scheduled board meeting, news broke of another appallingly human rights disaster. An Amnesty International Urgent Action dated November 9 told us that Ignacio Leon De La Castra, Segundo Montes, Ignacio Martin-Baro, Armando Lopez, Juan Ramon Moreno, Moreno, Supersano Lopez, Lusitano, and a child, Cecena Ramos, had been brutally murdered.

On November 16, Amnesty International released the 1989 annual Human Rights Report. That report was a critique of the pro-gun lobby by legislators that were first in that they were a sense of social outrage - that the perpetrators of the heinous crime could be so utterly bereft of any compassion.
EYES OF THE WORLD by Dave Kopel

When the Exxon Valdez hit a reef in Prince William Sound, Alaska, the tanker set in motion a process that killed thousands of animals and the rupture in the fitted hull sent over 10 million gallons of oil spilling out over 100 square miles. The oil has contaminated 278 square miles of beach, and has killed or seriously injured thousands of birds and turtles. The Exxon Valdez disaster now poses serious threats to hundreds of miles of coastal areas. Many of these areas are already intemperate, and the area already hit with a million gallon oil spill earlier this winter.

As of early May, the known death toll included 674 sea birds that have been found. The first angry response to the Valdez catastrophe was a call for a ban on offshore drilling. This, however, was understandable: if Exxon (and other oil company operators) had not been able to dispose of the valves, the oil would not have cracked the ship open. Yet environmental groups correctly rejected the boycott. Exxon could simply have sold its oil to different wholesalers. Only service station operators would have been hurt. Moreover, logically, environmentalists fear, the problem is not just the one company. The problem is industrywide. Therefore, the issue is not one of environmentalism vs. environmental sound energy policy.

Was the industry too complacent? Exxon focuses on this question — attempting to change the debate regarding oil policy into an argument about alcohol. Already Exxon has been able to show that, in the words of a brother random ulit tests. But where is the industry?

In previous years, America's energy was in making progress in reducing its dependency on oil. From 1973 to 1988, energy efficiency improved by 40%. In the last two years, however, we have made no improvement at all. The price of oil has fallen so low that it does not make economic sense to reduce oil waste.

Yet in the long run, conservation makes economic sense no matter what the price of oil. The reason is that oil production imposes excessive social costs that the actual oil price does not reflect. Some of the hidden costs of oil include our defense budget, including our society's cost, and the engines of their military. Other hidden costs of oil are paid by people thrown out of work due to the oil crisis. A study by the Worldwatch Institute, the U.S. could save 200 billion dollars a year if it used energy more productively. By raising these efficiency levels, the industry could produce an automatic 5% cost advantage over ours. And some losses could of course be impossible.

Annotate Commentary

Annotate amnesty laws that write on a prisoner's behalf within one month after receiving them. By the time the prisoner is released, the letter will be out of time. The prisoner will be deported, unless his lawyer can be arrested, accurately specifying the facts and arguments with those that are written above. Under no circumstances should you write to the prisoner.

Anne-Marie’s letter to writing appeals For Prisoners of Conscience, send a stamped, addressed envelope for: Appeals

The new USF law has already claimed hundreds of lives, and this law is suffering. The brutality continues.

We believe there are has acted as barbarians that rank among the most malicious and premeditated of human beings and have reported. The targets this fine were the peacekeepers, human rights groups whose concern for social justice. The evidence and the oppressed is a commitment to reason and understanding of the violation of human rights and to support the rule of the law. This is why we condemn here are acts of barbarism that rank among the most malicious and
cruel and inhuman.

BY MUSNIG INGAR, J-BOURU OF DIRECTORS; AUSTRALIA

-Environment-

-Essay-

Who are the true gods? part 1-

Who are the true gods? To start this query, first we must define what a god is. Bluntly put, a god is possibly an actual being or is just an imagined being used to give people hope. Assuming that a god is actually just an imaginary image, then the true creator of the god(s) is the human race. Theology is fairly tied. It can be used just as a 'drug' for hope, or to blame the unexplained or just, as with the American Indians, to pay respect to the unknown

Proof of all three forms of these theologies are evident. First, everyday or every Sunday in church, a good part of the prayers cast an optimistic view on life and thereby boasting hope and make people feel less helpless. Second, theHz been used to persevere the unexplained for centuries. In Salem, Mass, old ladies were burned (or worse) just for being strange or enraging a religious fanatic. Blacks were sometimes called the Devil's children because of their skin color and the association of the color black with death. Today, this is still basically the same story. To prove the third, I'll quote a Glad(TM) trash bag commercial, "... For here were once people who said you should leave the land as you found it. That might be considered to be a warning of not ruining the land and inviting the wrath of God's wrath.

According to the idea behind this essay, the only true god(s) are actually the human race. Scary, isn't it? One of the many mistakes of this new and daring concept is that if man is God, then did he create the god(s) or just true if our entire concept of the idea being is actually some greater being's dream (which gets us back to the idea of god(s)) and upon his wakening we go wherever dream(s) do when we're awake.

This whole essay depends on what one believes. As strange as this may sound, the form of the essay is itself the respect the land could be reworded into saving that Greenpeace and other such organizations are actually religious. The true answer to the question is for you to decide on your own.

STAFF WRITER MARK KUDI

On Human Rights

DON'T FROM P. B. YOU are outraged. These victims are the newest martyrs in Rice's campaign for human rights in El Salvador. This new U.S. law has already claimed hundreds of lives in El Salvador. The brutality continues.

We believe there are acts of barbarism that rank among the most cruel and inhuman.

BY MUSNIG INGAR, J-BOURU OF DIRECTORS; AUSTRALIA

-Aid to the Eastern Bloc-

One of the hardest and most crucial decisions that Bush has had to make is about foreign aid to Eastern Europe. He has three choices: cut-out foreign aid to other countries or give aid to the new democracy. Bush has been accused of being soft on foreign aid, but he has cut the aid to the new democracy. Bush's decision was pragmatic and the most politically expedient.